

# **Executive Summary of Overall Bid Evaluation Report**

# Project Title: Operation & Maintenance of St Martin Wastewater Treatment Plant

## Reference number of procurement: CPB/11/2020

#### Scope of Contract:

1. Procurement method used: Open Advertised Bidding

2. Date of Invitation of Bids: 24 August 2020

3. Closing date for submission of bids: 02 December 2020

4. Date and place of opening of bids: at CPB Rose Hill

5. Number of bids received by closing date: 3

6. Responsiveness of bids: 2

Bidder's Name	Marks Scored	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bids not responsive
Veolia Eau - Compagnie Generale des Eaux (France)	Table 1 (minimum to be scored 64) – 78.6	Yes	- FORMATION CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
	Table 2 (minimum to be scored 16) – 20		
JV WSSA (PTY) Ltd and Manser Saxon Facilities Ltd	Table 1 (minimum to be scored 64) – 57.4  Table 2 (minimum to be scored 16) – 17	No	Not meeting all qualification criteria & Scoring less than 80% in Table 1.
JV Sotravic – St Martin Partner	Table 1 (minimum to be scored 64) – 74.6	Yes	
	Table 2 (minimum to be scored 16) – 19.2		

#### Responsiveness of bidders

## I Bidder Veolia Eau - Compagnie Generale des Eaux (France)

Veolia meets all the requirements of the bid and is technically substantially responsive.

## II Bidder JV WSSA - Manser Saxon Facilites Ltd

The Joint Venture WSSA - Manser Saxon Facilites Ltd has been evaluated although the JV does not meet one of the qualifications criteria. The proposed M & E Head /M & E Engineer holds a

gs Sol I

1

Technologist qualification in lieu of an Engineering qualification. Moreover, the JV has failed to score at least 80% in Table 1 (55.4 in lieu of 64 marks), which is a qualification criteria. Several of the personnel for Condition Assessment do not have the minimum qualification specified in the Bidding Documents.

Hence the JV is not technically substantially responsive.

# III Bidder JV Sotravic - St Martin Partner

The Joint Venture Sotravic – St Martin Partner meets all the requirements for the bid and is technically substantially responsive.

## 7. Best Evaluated Technical Bid:

The two Bidders Veolia and Joint Venture Sotravic – St Martin Partner, having met all the requirements of the bid, are technically substantially responsive and are being retained for financial evaluation.

### 8. Financial Evaluation

The financial proposals of the two (2) technically substantive responsive bidders were received as shown in Table 1 below.

SN Bidder		Technical score	Discount	Bid Amount after Discount MUR (excl VAT)	Submission Form
1	VEOLIA EAU – Compagnie Generale Des Eaux (France)	98.6	nil	1,066,749,700.00	Yes
3	JV Sotravic – St Martin Partner	93.8	nil	917,495,575.00	Yes

Financial Proposals received

After evaluation of the financial proposals the BEC concluded that the bid submitted by JV Sotravic-St Martin Partner is the lowest substantially responsive financial bid.

ys elf I

#### 9. Conclusion

Both bidders, VEOLIA EAU -Compagnie Generale Des Eaux (France) and JV Sotravic - St Martin Partner, are technically substantially and financially responsive.

Given that JV Sotravic – St Martin Partner has submitted the lowest bid, the BEC recommends the award of the contract for the Operation and Maintenance of the St Martin Wastewater Treatment Plant, for the Wastewater Management Authority, for an intended period of seven (7) years, to JV Sotravic – St Martin Partner, for the sum of MUR 917,495,575 (nine hundred and seventeen million four hundred and ninety five thousand five hundred and seventy five only), excluding VAT, for being the lowest technically responsive bid.

of the